



Recommend F1000 to your librarian 1000

Customize site to your interests 1000

Receive regular email alerts 1000

Site Walkthrough... 1000

[My F1000 Biology](#) |
 [Browse the Faculties](#) |
 [Top 10s](#) |
 [Advanced Search](#) |
 [My Details](#) |
 [About](#) |
 [Faculty Member List](#)

Must Read

F1000 Factor **6.0**

EndNote

[Download citation](#)

[Send page by email](#)

Age-related Differences in Brain Activity during True and False Memory Retrieval.

Dennis NA, Kim H, Cabeza R
J Cogn Neurosci 2008 Aug **20**(8): 1390-402 [[abstract on PubMed](#)]
 [[citations on Google Scholar](#)] [[related articles](#)] [[full text](#)] [[order article](#)] [get it @ Duke](#)

Selected by | Alunit Ishai NEW
 Evaluated 29 Jul 2008
[Relevant Sections](#)

Faculty Comments

Faculty Member

Alunit Ishai
 University of Zurich,
 Switzerland
 NEUROSCIENCE

- Confirmation
- Hypothesis
- New Finding

Comments

This functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study compares the neural correlates of true and false memory retrieval in young and old adults and provides empirical evidence for the 'fuzzy trace theory'. Behaviorally, old adults made more high-confidence "old" responses to related lures than did younger adults and showed reduced activation in the hippocampus, but enhanced activation in retrosplenial cortex during correct recognition of studied words (true memory), as well as increased activation in left lateral temporal cortex during incorrect recognition of critical lures (false memory). Importantly, activated regions were identified based on contrasting high- with low-confidence memory ratings. These findings suggest that age-related memory decline is manifested by both reduced recollection and increased reliance on semantic gist. As the retrosplenial cortex is part of the contextual association network (see Bar & Aminoff, *Neuron* 2003, 38: 347-358 [[PMID: 12718867](#)]) it's highly likely that older adults rely more on associations during memory retrieval.

Competing interests: None declared
 Evaluated 29 Jul 2008 NEW

[How to cite this evaluation](#)

Faculty Comments

How to cite the Faculty of 1000 Biology evaluation(s) for this paper

1) To cite all the evaluations for this article:

Faculty of 1000 Biology: evaluations for Dennis NA et al *J Cogn Neurosci* 2008 Aug 20 (8) : 1390-402
<http://www.f1000biology.com/article/id/1117827/evaluation>

2) To cite an evaluation by a specific Faculty member:

Alunit Ishai: Faculty of 1000 Biology, 29 Jul 2008 <http://www.f1000biology.com/article/id/1117827/evaluation>